

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

INTERNATIONAL WATCHMAN, INC., :
Plaintiff, : CASE NO. 1:17-CV-497
vs. : ORDER
81 JANUARY, INC., *et al.*, : [Resolving Doc. [18](#)]
Defendants. :

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

Plaintiff International Watchman, Inc. sued Defendant 81 January, Inc. for trademark infringement.¹ Defendant's Director, William Shaine, moved pro se to dismiss the lawsuit on jurisdictional grounds.² Shaine also asked for leave to appear in this case.³ Plaintiff moved to strike Defendant's motions, arguing that corporations cannot litigate pro se.⁴

The Court granted Plaintiff's motion to strike, holding that Ohio law requires a licensed attorney to represent a corporation in all non-small-claims-court proceedings.⁵

¹ Doc. [1](#).

² Docs. [6](#) & [15](#).

³ *Id.*

⁴ Doc. [12](#).

⁵ *Gass v. Headlands Contracting & Tunneling, Inc.*, 2008 WL 4964656, at *1 (Ohio Ct. App. Nov. 21, 2008) ("[O]utside of small claims court, an individual, including a corporate officer, who is not an attorney, may not appear in court or maintain litigation *in propria persona* on behalf of a corporation."); *see also Disciplinary Counsel v. Kafele*, 843 N.E.2d 169, 173 (Ohio 2006) ("And with limited exception, unauthorized practice occurs when a layperson renders legal services for another person or for a corporate entity by attempting to manage legal actions and proceedings before courts of law.").

Now, Defendant Shaine asks the Court to reconsider its previous decision.⁶ Shaine says that 81 January, Inc. lacks several corporate characteristics⁷ and will not invoke its corporate veil.⁸

Defendant Shaine's argument loses. Defendant 81 January, Inc. is a registered corporation in Massachusetts⁹ and must be represented by counsel. However, because Plaintiff also sues Defendant Shaine personally, Shaine may represent himself pro se.

The Court also grants Defendant 81 January, Inc.'s request for a twenty-day extension to retain counsel.¹⁰ The Court also orders Plaintiff to serve Defendants in accordance with the Northern District of Ohio's Local Rules.¹¹

Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Defendants' motion for reconsideration, **GRANTS** Defendants' motion for an extension, and **ORDERS** Plaintiff to serve Defendants in accordance with the Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 6, 2017

s/ James S. Gwin

JAMES S. GWIN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

⁶ Doc. [18](#).

⁷ *Id.* at 2 ("Defendant 81 January, Inc. never commenced business, has no bylaws, has no bank account, has no eBay account, has no money with which to hire an attorney.").

⁸ *Id.* at 3.

⁹ Doc. [12-1](#).

¹⁰ Doc. [18](#) at 3.

¹¹ *Id.*